## 2001-2002 LANGUAGE SURVEY DEPARTMENTAL SUMMARY & ANALYSIS

## **DEPARTMENT NAME**

| 1. | Non-consecutive survey weeks selected by Department:  1st Week: |            |            |            |                      |            |             |        |
|----|---|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
|    | 1 <sup>st</sup> Week  | <u> </u>   |            |            | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Week | <:         |             |        |
|    | Exception   | n Noted: N | N/A        |            |                      |            |             |        |
| 2. | Total publi   | c contacts | reported b | oy languag | je (See lar          | iguage cod | des on last | page): |
|    | EN  | SP         | ASL        | AR         | АМ                   | CA         | CN          | CR     |
|    |   |            |            |            |                      |            |             |        |
|    | FA  | FR         | GE         | GR         | HE                   | н          | НМ          | IL     |
|    |   |            |            |            |                      |            |             |        |
|    | IN  | IT         | JA         | ко         | LA                   | MA         | МІ          | РО     |
|    |   |            |            |            |                      |            |             |        |
|    | PR  | PU         | RU         | SA         | SE                   | so         | TA          | TH     |
|    |   |            |            |            |                      |            |             |        |
|    | ШВ  | M          | OTHER      |            |                      |            |             | TOTAL  |

Summary:

3. Total certified bilingual employees in public contact positions, by language:

| SP | ASL | AR | АМ | CA | CN | CR | FA    |
|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| FR | GE  | GR | HE | н  | НМ | IL | IN    |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| IT | JA  | ко | LA | MA | MI | РО | PR    |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| PU | RU  | SA | SE | so | TA | TH | UR    |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| VI |     |    |    |    |    |    | TOTAL |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |

Summary:

- 4. Total number of bilingual staff receiving pay:
- 5. Total bilingual position deficiencies, by language:

| SP | ASL | AR    | АМ | CA | CN | CR | FA    |
|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|----|-------|
|    |     |       |    |    |    |    |       |
| FA | FR  | GE    | GR | HE | HI | нм | IL    |
|    |     |       |    |    |    |    |       |
| IN | IT  | JA    | ко | LA | MA | MI | РО    |
|    |     |       |    |    |    |    |       |
| PR | PU  | RU    | SA | SE | so | TA | TH    |
|    |     |       |    |    |    |    |       |
| UR | VI  | OTHER |    |    |    |    | TOTAL |
|    |     |       |    |    |    |    |       |

Summary:

| 6. | Assessment of corrective action plan to eliminate deficiencies submitted by the department:   |
|----|---|
|    | ☐ No Deficiencies Reported  |
| 7. | Assessment of departmental activities to ensure that bilingual services needs of all customers are met:   |
|    | a. Other means used by the department to measure LEP clients language needs other than the biennial language survey:  |
|    | b. Sources Utilized by Department to certify bilingual staff (Check all that apply):  SPB CPS LAUSD Dept. Delegated Testing None Identified Other: (Specify): |

Exception Noted: N/A

- c. Procedures used by department to translate materials:
  - i. Department's process for determining documents that fall within the meaning of the Act:

Exception Noted:

ii. Department's process for providing translated documents to LEP customers:

Exception Noted: N/A

iii. Department's process for informing the public of the availability of translated documents:

Exception Noted: N/A

d. Other resources identified by the department to interpret/translate for any languages that do not meet the 5% threshold:

Exception Noted: N/A

e. Other bilingual tools utilized by the department to assist LEP persons in its field offices, including contracting with telephone-based interpretation services:

Exception Noted:

f. Process utilized by the department to address or resolve complaints from LEP persons regarding the availability of interpreters or translated materials:

Exception Noted:

g. Procedures utilized by the department to train its public contact staff on the appropriate provision of services to LEP costumers:

Exception Noted: N/A

- h. Overall assessment of department's efforts to ensure the bilingual services needs of LEP customers are met:
- 8. Departmental Bilingual Services Policy:

**Exception Noted:** 

- 9. Supplemental Questionnaire to address department's actions to ensure compliance with the Bilingual Services Act:
  - a. Estimated number of appointments to vacant public contact positions during the next fiscal year:

| SP | ASL | AR | АМ | CA | CN | CR | FA    |
|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| FR | GE  | GR | HE | н  | НМ | IL | IN    |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| IT | JA  | ко | LA | MA | МІ | РО | PR    |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| PU | RU  | SA | SE | so | TA | TH | UR    |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| VI |     |    |    |    |    |    | TOTAL |
|    |     |    |    |    |    |    |       |

Summary:

Exception Noted:

b. Total number of departmental documents that fall within the meaning of the Act:

Exception Noted:

c. Total number of documents translated into the non-English languages that met the 5% threshold:

Exception Noted: N/A

- d. Total number of documents translated into any non-English languages that do not meet the 5% threshold:
- e. Explanation of how the department determines which documents to translate:
- 10. Summary of department's 2001-2002 language survey data:
  - a. Total number of unit's participating in survey, by county:
  - b. Total number of unit's participating in survey that reported no significant public contact (less than 5% or 25 contacts) from LEP persons, by county:
  - c. Units that do not meet the 5% threshold, reporting 25 or more contacts in any non-English language, and the number of bilingual public contact employees available to provide services:

| Reporting<br>Unit | Number of<br>Certified Staff | Number Of<br>Non-Certified<br>Staff | Language<br>Identified | County |
|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|
|                   |                              |                                     |                        |        |

## Summary:

d. Units meeting 5% threshold in any non-English language, and the number of bilingual public contact employees available to provide services:

2001-2002 Language Survey Department Summary & Analysis Page 6

11. Overall Assessment of department's compliance with the Act and efforts to facilitate compliance:

## Language Code Guide:

| Code | Language         | Code | Language   | Code | Language   | Code | Language   |
|------|------------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|
| ASL  | American<br>Sign | GE   | German     | JA   | Japanese   | RU   | Russian    |
| AR   | Arabic           | GR   | Greek      | ко   | Korean     | SA   | Samoan     |
| AM   | Armenian         | HE   | Hebrew     | LA   | Laotian    | SE   | Serbian    |
| CA   | Cambodian        | HI   | Hindi      | MA   | Mandarin   | so   | Somali     |
| CN   | Cantonese        | НМ   | Hmong      | MI   | Mien       | SP   | Spanish    |
| CR   | Croatian         | IL   | Ilocano    | PO   | Polish     | TA   | Tagalog    |
| FA   | Farsi            | IN   | Indonesian | PR   | Portuguese | TH   | Thai       |
| FR   | French           | IT   | Italian    | PU   | Punjabi    | Ur   | Urdu       |
|      |                  |      |            |      |            | VI   | Vietnamese |